

Sample Regional Project Evaluation Criteria

- A. Cost benefit/usage:**
 2 Has a Cost/Benefit Ratio of 1.0 or higher.
 0 Has a Cost/Benefit Ratio less than 1.0.
A cost/benefit ratio of 1.0 establishes a cost effective improvement project.
- B. The Project Meets Roadway Design Standards and/or Improves Safety**
 2 Will meet design standards and improve safety.
 1 Will improve safety only.
Address safety improvements along facilities.
- C. Improves the facility LOS**
 2 Facility (or Adjacent Regional Facility) at LOS "F".
 1 Facility (or Adjacent Regional Facility) at LOS "E".
 0 Facility (or Adjacent Regional Facility) at LOS "A" through "D".
Improves existing congestion and delay at the most critical locations.
- D. Project would improve travel time and speed:**
 2 Significant travel time savings.
 1 Some travel time savings.
 0 No or insignificant travel time savings expected.
Various types of projects (different classifications, improvement type) would have varying savings in travel time.
- E. Improves Air Quality**
 2 Reduces ROG, Nox, PM10, and/or CO emissions.
 1 Emission neutral.
 0 Increases emissions.
Reduces nonattainment air emissions.
- F. Is environmentally sensitive**
 2 No significant impact on the Environment.
 1 Minimal impact on the Environment.
 0 Significant impact on the Environment.
The project has the ability to be implemented without significant mitigation costs and environmental assessment.
- G. The project creates a balance in transportation investment by income, ethnicity, or age**
 2 Yes
 0 No
Improves the economic well-being of the adjacent area.

Key to Ratings: [2] Very Positive; [1] Positive or Negative; [0] Not Positive or Not Applicable.